	Case 2:12-cv-05761-JC	Document 32	Filed 04/09/13	Page 1 of 2	Page ID #:100
1 2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	WESTERN DIVISION				
11					
12	DELANO CARROL N	NELSON,	Ca	se No: CV 12	2-05761 JC
13	Pla	aintiff,	OI	DED TO C	TOW CALICE DE
14	vs.		DI	KDER TO SI SMISSAL	HOW CAUSE RE
15 16	UNITED STATES and Through 10, inclusive,				
17	De	efendants.			
18 19	On July 3, 2012, plaintiff initiated this action by filing a Complaint for				
20	Damages. On September 4, 2012, defendant United States filed an Answer. The				
21 22	parties have consented to proceed before the undersigned United States Magistrate				
23	Judge.				
24	On January 7, 2013, the Court held a scheduling conference and set dates in this				
2526	action, including a non-expert discovery cut-off deadline of April 15, 2013.				
27	On March 12, 2013, defendant filed a Motion to Compel, seeking to compel				
28	plaintiff to respond to discovery requests which were propounded in October 2012 and				

as to which responses were due in November 2012. Contrary to Local Rule 37-1, plaintiff's counsel did not confer with defendant regarding the substance of such motion. The Motion to Compel was noticed for hearing on April 9, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiff did not file a response or opposition to the Motion to Compel.

On March 29, 2013, this Court set a status conference for April 9, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., ordering all counsel of record to appear.

On April 9, 2013, the case was called for a hearing on the Motion to Compel and a status conference. Contrary to the March 29, 2013 Order, neither of plaintiff's attorneys appeared. In response to the Court's inquiry, defendant's counsel represented that despite the April 15, 2013 non-expert discovery cut-off, plaintiff had propounded no discovery and had essentially done nothing on the case.

In light of the foregoing, plaintiff IS ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing by not later than April 19, 2013, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or the failure to comply with a Court order. Plaintiff is cautioned that the failure timely to respond to this Order to Show Cause and/or to show good cause will result in the dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and/or the failure to comply with Court orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2013	/s/
-	Hon. Jacqueline Chooljian
	United States Magistrate Judge